

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/511,951 | 10/21/2004 | Detlef Busch | 05581-00131-US | 8785 |
| 23416 7590 08/14/2007 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP P O BOX 2207 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | MCDOWELL, SUZANNE E | |
| WILMINGTO | N, DE 19899 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1732 | |
| | | | | |
| | | · | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/14/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| | 10/511,951 | BUSCH ET AL. | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| · | Suzanne E. McDowell | 1732 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | appears on the cover sheet wit | th the correspondence address | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON- tute, cause the application to become AB. | CATION. poly be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 | ? March 2007. | • | | |
| | his action is non-final. | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde | er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D | . 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-26</u> is/are pending in the applica | tion. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-26</u> is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | d/or election requirement. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam | iner. | * | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a | | by the Examiner. | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to t | • • | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr | ection is required if the drawing(| s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attached | Office Action or form PTO-152. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei | ian priority under 35 U.S.C. & | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | ight priority under do d.o.o. 3 | 1.0(4) (4) 5. (1). | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received. | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received in Ap | oplication No | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p | riority documents have been | received in this National Stage | | |
| application from the International Bure | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a l | ist of the certified copies not i | received. | | |
| | | | | |
| | • | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | ummary (PTO-413) | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | |)/Mail Date formal Patent Application | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | | |

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 10-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oles et al. (US Patent 4,769,205) in view of Davidson et al. (US Patent 6,815,048). Oles et al. teaches a method of in-mold labeling by placing a pair of labels (84) in mold recesses (24), closing the molds (20 and 22) about a parison (106), lowering the blow head (46) to engage the upper end of the parison and to flow blow air into the parison to expand it, during which expansion the labels are bonded to the sides of the resultant container (column 5, lines 3-10).

Regarding claims 10-26, Oles et al. does not teach the label as claimed. Davidson et al. teaches the label as claimed, including stretching a web containing a beta-form (column 2, lines 20-24) of a polypropylene-based homopolymer, or a random or block copolymer or terpolymer (column 3, lines 60-62) and containing 0.0001 to 5 weight % of a nucleating agent such as a dicarboxamide (column 4, lines 10-17), whereby the stretching forms a film with microvoids therein. Davidson et al. further teaches that the voids are induced by the change of the beta-form into the alpha-form of polypropylene during stretching (column 3, lines 16-20). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the label taught by Davidson et al. in the method taught by Oles et al., particularly since Davidson et al. teaches that the label can be used in in-mold labeling (column 1, lines 40-44), and Oles et al. is a method for in-mold labeling. The motivation to combine the teachings of

Application/Control Number: 10/511,951

Art Unit: 1732

Davidson et al. and Oles et al. is because both are in the same field of endeavor and solve the same problem, that of in-mold labeling.

Regarding claims 12-14, Oles et al. does not specifically teach the film density or porosity.

Regarding claims 25-26, Oles et al. does not specifically teach that the labeled container does not have an orange peel. Davidson et al. teaches using the same polypropylenes and nucleating agents in the same ratios as those of the instant claims, which would of necessity result in a film with the claimed characteristics, such as density and porosity and lack of orange peel problems.

Regarding claims 23 and 24, Davidson et al. teaches using a stenter process at 128°C or 144°C, cooling the film and winding it onto reels (column 6, lines 53-57), or a stenter process at 153°C or 135°C, cooling the film and winding it onto reels (column 7, lines 11-16). Temperatures cooler than 128°C are as claimed in claims 23 and 24.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzanne E. McDowell whose telephone number is (571) 272-1205. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Suzanne E. McDowell/ Suzanne E. McDowell Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732